

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

AUG 14 2006

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400  
FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787  
E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM  
WWW.OLIFF.COM

August 14, 2006

**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET**To: Examiner Glenda Rodriguez F: 571-273-8300From: Donald DiPaulaYour Ref.: 10/805,357Our Ref.: 119181Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 1Prepared By: DAD**Comments:**

Proposed agenda for Personal Interview 8/15:

We plan to discuss claim 1, in light of the Office Action of June 2. Particularly, we plan to discuss the Moran reference, which in Fig. 8 discloses a reference pattern; how a reference pattern is distinct from a servo pattern; the difference between the servo pattern unit parts disclosed by Moran and servo pattern gap filling parts (which are not taught in Moran); and the definition of "spokes", as used in Moran, not being parts which protrude from the surface of the disk media (see: Fig. 3, 4A-B, 6, 9A; col. 7, lines 45-67; col. 8, lines 1-10; col. 9, lines 33-46; col. 11, lines 16-19; col. 12, lines 9-12; etc.)

We also plan to discuss the Ikeda reference, particularly Fig. 2a-2e (which disclose steps in the process of creating photo masks, and not the recording medium surface; see: col. 9 line 35 - col. 12 line 67). Ikeda also discusses known problems with projections and recesses (col. 2, lines 38-45), and does not advocate the desirability of such.

We also plan to discuss claim 14 and the direction of magnetization, specifically, that projections magnetized in one direction and projections magnetized in the other direction exist together. This claim feature was rejected over Moran, col. 6 lines 1-8. Moran, however, there discusses the servo reference pattern, prior to the writing of the servo pattern. Moran is silent on servo pattern gap filling parts, and is also silent on the parts being magnetized in opposite polarities in a direction vertical to a surface. Moran instead discloses "the direction of magnetization is generally along the circumferential direction of circular disk tracks."

We wish to discuss why the current claims are distinguishable from the references. However, we would like to discuss possible clarifying amendments as well.

Sent By: DAD